

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA  
HAMMOND DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
                                  )  
                                  )  
v.                              )         Case No.: 2:16-cr-150  
                                  )  
                                  )  
MARLONN HICKS.              )

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
UPON A PLEA OF GUILTY

TO: THE HONORABLE JOSEPH S. VAN BOKKELEN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Upon defendant's request to enter a plea of guilty pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11, this matter came on for hearing before the Honorable Andrew P. Rodovich, United States Magistrate Judge, on October 28, 2016, with the written consents of the defendant, counsel for the defendant, and counsel for the United States of America.

The hearing on defendant's plea of guilty was in full compliance with Rule 11, before the Magistrate Judge in open court and on the record.

In consideration of that hearing and the statements made by the defendant under oath on the record, and in the presence of counsel, the remarks of Assistant United States Attorney Joshua P. Kolar, and of Attorney Roxanne Mendez Johnson, counsel for the defendant,

I FIND as follows:

1. that the defendant understands the nature of the charge against him to which the plea is offered;
2. that the defendant understands his right to trial by jury, to persist in his plea of not guilty, to the assistance of counsel at trial, to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses, and his right against compelled self-incrimination;

3. that the defendant understands what the maximum possible sentence is, including the effect of the supervised release term, and the defendant understands that the Probation Department will prepare a presentence report based upon the sentencing guidelines but that the court may depart from those guidelines under some circumstances;
4. that the plea of guilty by the defendant has been knowingly and voluntarily made and is not the result of force or threats or of promises;
5. that the defendant is competent to plead guilty;
6. that the defendant understands that his answers may later be used against him in a prosecution for perjury or false statement;
7. that there is a factual basis for the defendant's plea; and further,

**I RECOMMEND** that the court accept the defendant's plea of guilty to the offense charged in Count 1 of the Information and have sentence imposed. A presentence report has been ordered.

ENTERED this 28th day of October, 2016.

/s/ Andrew P. Rodovich  
United States Magistrate Judge